## IBM CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT 11400 BURNET ROAD AUSTIN, TEXAS 78758

į		r	AX # 512		
DATE:	6/25/	04		RECEIVED	
Number	of Pages to Follow	(including cover she	et) <b>8</b>	CENTRAL FAX CEN	
		5		JUN 2 5 2004	1
SEND T	O:	United States Pater	nt Office	OFFICI	Αi
E	xaminer:	Q. Tran		OFFICIA	41
d	roup Art Unit:	2176	<del></del>		
T	el No:	703- <b>305-878</b>			
F	ix #:	703.	7239		
FROM:			B. KRAFT		·
Tè	l No:	512- 473 - 2	2303		
THIS MES	AGE IS INTENDED	ONLY FOR THE USE C	E THE INDIVIDUAL	OR ENTITY TO WHICH	FT IC
MUDRESSE	D, AND MAY CONT	AIN INFORMATION T	HAT IS PRIVILEGED	CONFIDENTIAL AND S S MESSAGE IS NOT THE	EXEMPT
KECIPIENI	, OR THE EMPLOYE	EE OR AGENT RESPON	SIBLE FOR DELIVER	RING THE MESSAGE TO	THE
COPYING	JE THIS COMMUNIC	CATION IS STRICTLY	PROHIBITED. IF YOU	INATION, DISTRIBUTE U HAVE RECEIVED THI	S
COMMUNI	CATION IN ERROR,	PLEASE NOTIFY US II	MMEDIATELY BY TE	ELEPHONE AND RETUR TAL SERVICE. THANK	N THE
1		0928 Serial No.09	* 357	A — •	
	D. N. B		Ally:	JBKRAFT	
_	i I				
ţ	ansmittal Letter (2 o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Certificate of Fac		
. !	climinary Amendm	ent	Notice of Appeal		
į	nendment		Appeal Brief (3 c	copius)	
į	t. of Time	<u></u>	Reply Brief		
1	S Statément		Change of Addres	SS	
- 04	ner				
•					
Deposit A	cet. No. OQ -	0447			
1	:	Notice of Appeal _	Appeal E	BriefOther	•
į	•				

PAGE 1/8 \* RCVD AT 6/25/2004 1:46:36 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/3 \* DNIS:7467239 \* CSID:512 473 8803 \* DURATION (mm-ss):04-24

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: D. N. Banerjee et al.

DOCKET NUMBER: AUS920000928US1
Date: 6/25/04

Serial No.: 09/779,357

Filed: Feruary 8, 2001

FOR: PRIGRITIZING AND VISUALLY DISTINGUISHING SETS OF HYPERLINKS IN HYPERTEXT WORLD WIDE WEB DOCUMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH WEIGHTS BASED UPON ATTRIBUTES OF WEB DOCUMENTS LINKED TO SUCH HYPERLINKS

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS 0. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Bir:

ransmitted herawith is a Response in the above-identified Application.

No additional fee is required.

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

_								
	Claims Remaining After Amendment			Highest No. Previously Paid For		Present Extra	Rate	Addit. Fee
otal	39	MI	NUS	39	33	0	x 18 =	\$0
rdep.	. 3	K	INUS	3	=	0	x 86 =	\$0
	ist Presen	tat	on of	Multiple De	<b>≱</b> p,	Claim	× 290 ≈	\$0
A							TOTAL	£0

Please charge my Deposit Account No. 09-0447 in the amount of \$ A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 09-0447. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Any additional tess required under 37 CFR 81.16 for the presentation of extra claims.

Any paterit application processing fees under 37 CFR \$1.17.

Respectfully submitted

Regi tration No. 19,226

llectual Property JBM Corporation

11400 Burnet Road - 4054

Austin, Texas 78758 (512) 473-2303

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER** 

JUN 2 5 2004

OFFICIAL

PATENT 09/779,357

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Group Art Unit: 2176

Examiner Q Tran

Dwip N. Banerjee et al.

Intellectual Property

Serial No: 09/779,357

Law Department - 4054

Filed: Februaery 8, 2001 Title: PRIORITIZING AND

International Business

VISUALLY DISTINGUISHING SETS

Machines Corporation

OF HYPERLINKS IN HYPERTEXT

11400 Burnet Road

Austin, Texas

WORLD WIDE WEB DOCUMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH WEIGHTS BASED : 78758

UPON ATTRIBUTES OF WEB

DOCUMENTS LINKED TO SUCH

HYPERLINKS

Date:

I hereby certify that this correspondence including the present Amendment and accompanying Transmittal letter is being transmitted via facsimile to USPTO, Group Art Unit 2176 at telephone number 703-746-7238 and to the attention of Examiner Q. Tran on

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Al¢xandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Sir:

AUS920000928US1

E 4/8 \* RCVD AT 6/25/2004 1:46:36 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/3 \* DNIS:7467239 \* CSID:512 473 8803 \* DURATION (mm-ss):04-24

This is in response to the Official Action mailed March 25, 2004.

Summary of Telephone Interview with Examiner on 06/16/04.

Applicants thank Examiner for the telephone interview extended to their attorney, J. B. Kraft on June 16, 2004. As Applicants explained in that interview, a rejection based on anticipation under 35 U.S.C. 102, must expressly or impliedly teach every element of invention without modification. The Examiner's application of the Adar et al. (US6,493,702) patent does not meet this standard. It was also pointed out that present Application and the Becker et al Patent (5,878, 223) used by Examiner in the rejection under 35 USC 103 were commonly owned by International Business Machines Corporation, the Assignee herein at the time the invention of the present Application was made. Thus, under 35 USC 103(c), the Becker patent could not be used to preclude patentability.

## Applicants Argument

The rejection of claims 1-2, 6-15, 19-28, and 32-39 under 35 USC 102(e) as anticipated by Adar et al. (US6,493,7020 is respectfully traversed.

A rejection based on anticipation under 35 U.S.C. 102, must expressly or impliedly teach every element of invention without modification. The Examiner's application of the Adar patent does not meet this standard. Every claim in the in this application requires that a plurality of hyperlinks in a Web document be prioritized and that each of this plurality of hyperlinks be visually distinguished based upon this prioritization.

AUS920000928US1

2

The Adar reference does not teach either of these elements. Adar does teach conducting a search via the Web, prioritizing the search results, and presenting the results to the user as a prioritized list of Web documents. There is no discussion whatsoever in Adar about hyperlinks, and certainly no discussion of prioritizing hyperlinks in a Web document and then visually distinguishing the documents based upon such prioritization.

## Examiners Argument

In the above mentioned Interview, Examiner took the position that the prioritized documents resulting from a Web search presented to the user in Adar (column 16) was the teaching of the present invention required to anticipate under 35 USC 102. Applicants submit that the prioritized results were not prioritized hyperlinks in a received Web document. In connection with this, the Examiner's attention is directed to the MIcrosoft Computer Dictionary, Fifth Edition definition of the term "Hyperlink":

"A connection between an element in a hypertext document such as a word, a phrase, a symbol, or any image, and a different element in the document, another document, a file or a script."

Applicants submit that there is nothing disclosed in Adar's prioritized results matching a hyperlink as defined above.

For a disclosure of the claimed element of <u>visually</u> distinguishing the plurality of hyperlinks from each other based upon the prioritizing, Examiner only cites col. 9. lines 40-45 in Adar. Applicants submit that this section in Adar does not relate to the prioritized list of documents. Rather it relates to a process of grouping "the millions of users participating in the internet". The highlighting

AUS920000928US1

3

referred to is only used to distinguish groups of such users from each other. This does not disclose the claimed visually distinguishing prioritized hyperlinks in a Web or internet document.

In view of the foregoing, it is clear that the Adar patent fails to meet the requirements for a rejection based upon anticipation under 35 USC 102 i.e. the reference must expressly or impliedly teach every element of invention without modification. As Applicants pointed out in the interview, the Examiner might try to develop a rejection based upon obviousness under 35 USC 103 which includes the Adar patent. Until such a rejection is made, Applicants see no need to amend the claims as they are clearly patentable over Adar under 35 USC 103.

The Becker et al. Patent (US5,878,223 is Owned by the Assignee of the Present Application, and Thus Can Not Preclude Patentability Under 35 U.S.C. 103(c).

In this connection, the rejection of claims 3-5,16-18, and 29-31 under 35 USC 103(a) is respectfully traversed.

The present Application and the Becker et al. Patent reference were commonly owned by International Business Machines Corporation, the Assignee herein at the time the invention of the present Application was made.

The file of the present Application indicates that an Assignment of the present Application to said Assignee is filed in the Patent Office. Also the printed Becker Patent indicates that it is assigned to the same Assignee.

Since the present Application has a filing date after November 29, 1999, and the Becker Patent would qualify as prior art under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 102(e), it is submitted that the Becker patent can not be used to preclude

AUS920000928US1

patentability based upon 35 U.S.C. 103(c). [Examiner's attention is directed to MPEP Sections [706.02(1); (1)(1); (1)(2); and (1)(3).]

Accordingly, Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw Becker as a reference. Examiner concedes, Adar does not teach varying the brightness or color or blinking of the hyperlinks to visually indicate the prioritization of the hyperlinks. The Becker et al. reference is notavailable to make up for these deficiencies in Adar.

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that claims 139 are in condition for allowance, and such allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 19,226

(512) 473-2303

PLEASE MAIL ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Diana L. Roberts
IPLaw Dept. - IMAD 4054
IBM Corporation
11400 Burnet Road
Austin, Texas 78758

AUS920000928US1